Oklahoma Pardon and Parole Board Parole Voting for October 2016

Table 1. Parole Docket, October 2016*

	Docket Total		Favorable Votes	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Non-Violent	154	73.0%	37	24.0%
Violent	57	27.0%	3	5.3%
TOTAL	211	100%	40	19.0%

^{*} Ineligible not included in analysis (8 or 3.7%)

Table 2. Favorable Votes, by Member (n=211)

	Non-Violent (154)		Violent (57)	
	Number of Favorable Votes	Percent	Number of Favorable Votes	Percent
Vanessa Price (Chair)	36	23.4%	2	3.5%
Patricia High (Vice-Chair)	29	18.8	5	8.8
Robert "Brett" Macy	31	20.1	6	10.5
Thomas Gillert	33	21.4	5	8.8
William Latimer	39	25.3	8	14.0
BOARD CONSENSUS TOTAL	37	24.0%	3	5.3%

Table 3. Protests, by Type (n=211)

	Victim	District Attorney	Delegates Supporting the Offender
Non-Violent	0	10	20
Violent	1	3	4
TOTAL PROTESTS	1	13	24

Table 4. Conditions of Parole for Non-Violent Offenders* (n=37)

Table 4. Conditions of Parole for Non-violent Offenders** (n=37)	Number	Percent
Monthly Urinalysis (MUAs)	32	
Substance Abuse Treatment	31	
Psychological Counseling	12	
Interlock Device	9	
Community Level/Work Release	7	
Batterer's Program	5	
Detainer	5	
Sober Living Facility	5	
Battle Buddies	3	
Cognitive Behavioral Treatment	2	
Thinking for a Change	2	
Anger Management	1	
Consecutive Sentence	1	
Restitution	1	
Victory Bible Institute	1	

^{*}The Board may impose or recommend stipulations, conditions, and/or limitations in the granting or the recommendation of parole. These may include programs, services and/or limitations. Stipulations must be completed prior to release from prison. Conditions must be completed post-release while on parole. Limitations may include urinallysis or interlock. Offenders may be ordered to more than one stipulation, condition and/or limitation of parole.

Table 5. Number of Conditions of Parole per Offender (n=37)

2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Number	Percent
One	5	13.5%
Two	4	10.8
Three	13	35.1
Four	10	27.0
Five	5	13.5
Total	37	100%
Average Number of Conditions (per offender)	3.2	
Range	4	